

Evaluation Worksheet for SDJV Funding Proposals – FY09			
Proposal #:			
Proposal Title:			
CRITICAL CRITERIA			
Does the study address a population of sea duck that enters the U.S. at some stage in its life cycle? (i.e., is it appropriate for U.S. funding as part of NAWMP?)	YES	NO	
Scoring Criteria: Range of 1 to 10 where 1= weak - meets very few criteria in Evaluation Category; 5= average - meets most criteria in Evaluation Category, but exceptional in few of them; 10= strong - meets all criteria at consistently high level			
EVALUATION CATEGORY	Score for Category	Relative Weight of Category	Score x Relative Weight For Category
Management/Conservation Considerations		6	
What information needs will this study address? (i.e., how many, their relative priorities within taxa)			
Does the proposal address a population with high conservation concern (i.e., a declining species or depressed population)			
Are there clear management implications?			
Will the results be broadly applicable?			
Has this topic been sufficiently addressed by previous studies?			
Methodology and Approach		5	
Is the general approach appropriate and presented/justified logically?			
Are the methods appropriate?			
Are sample sizes adequate?			
Is the schedule realistic?			
Are objectives clear and realistically achievable?			
Is the study being conducted in an appropriate location?			
Is the proposal adequately researched and is relevant literature cited?			
For multi-yr proposals only: does the proposal adequately demonstrate the necessity for multiple year funding to achieve objectives? Or are the annual increments primarily to extend and strengthen marginal datasets?			
Funding Considerations		3	
Is the study cost efficient / logistically efficient (e.g., is			

logistical infrastructure in place that will make efficient use of SDJV contributions?)				
Is there a substantial match of contributions proposed, particularly from non-US-federal sources?				
Are the requested items appropriate?				
Are cost estimates reasonable?				
For multi-yr proposals only: Is the multi-year funding commitment worth the lost opportunity to fund other SDJV projects? (Consider total costs over all years to the value of expected end products). What is the risk that matching fund contributions will not be secure for the term of the project?				
Other Considerations				
Does the study significantly complement other ongoing studies (i.e., is there an added value to the study?)		3		
Does the study involve multiple partners?				
Will this study bring on new partners to the SDJV?				
Will results be communicated effectively and in a timely manner?				
Is this a one-time opportunity?				
Do PIs have a proven track record of successful investigations?				
Is the proposal complete and does it conform to required format (e.g. maximum length)?				
			OVERALL PROPOSAL SCORE =	